

Domantas Radeckas
A. 24-4 Panevezys Laisves 35200
Lithuania
domantasradeckas@gmail.com
+37065346319
Plaintiff Pro Se



JUN 24 2025

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

BY

DEPUTY

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE**

AMAZON.COM, INC., A DELAWARE
CORPORATION, AMAZON.COM
SERVICES LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY, AND AMAZON
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., A NEVADA
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DOES 1-20, UNKNOWN PARTIES DOING
BUSINESS AS "REKK," AND THE
FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS: DOMANTAS
RADECKAS, NOAH PAGE, SKYLAR
ROBINSON, LUKE COLVIN, ALEJANDRO
TAVERAS, ANDREW LING, BRANDON
SUKHRAM, COSMIN SOPCA, JENNY
TRAN, OLAF BOOIJ, AND RYAN BATES,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01879-JLR

**DEFENDANT DOMANTAS RADECKAS'S
MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFFS'
UNAUTHORIZED OPPOSITION
TO MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT
JUDGMENT**

COMES NOW Defendant Domantas Radeckas and respectfully moves to strike
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's *Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment* (Dkt. 130 et al) on
the grounds that it was filed without leave of Court in violation of Local Civil Rule 7(h)(3). The
Court's LCR 7(h)(3) expressly provides that, "No response to a motion for reconsideration shall

DEFENDANT DOMANTAS RADECKAS'S MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFFS' UNAUTHORIZED OPPOSITION TO
MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUDGMENT - 1 -

1 be filed unless requested by the court. No motion for reconsideration will be granted without
2 such a request.”

3 This rule applies to Defendant’s Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment (Dkt. 129), which
4 seeks reconsideration of the Court’s February 25, 2025 Order entering default judgment (Dkt.
5 126) under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) based largely on Defendant Amazon’s direct actions to act in
6 concert with Lithuanian authorities to arrest Defendant and while he was knowingly
7 incarcerated, Plaintiff moved to default Defendant knowing he would not be able to respond.
8

9 Despite clear rule, Plaintiffs’ opposition, filed on June 20, 2025 (Dkt. 130), was not
10 preceded by any request for leave or order of the Court authorizing a response. The docket
11 contains no such authorization.
12

13 Because Plaintiffs filed their opposition without complying with LCR 7(h)(3), it is
14 procedurally improper and should be stricken or disregarded. See LCR 1(c) (“Failure to comply
15 with the local rules may subject a party to sanctions…”).

16 **WHEREFORE**, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court strike or disregard
17 Plaintiffs’ unauthorized opposition (Dkt. 130 et al) and related filings.
18

19 Dated this 21st of June 2025.

20 Respectfully submitted,

21 s/ Domantas Radeckas
22 Domantas Radeckas
23 A. 24-4 Panevezys Laisves 35200
24 Lithuania
25 domantasradeckas@gmail.com
26 +37065346319
27 *Plaintiff Pro Se*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 21, 2025 a copy of this pleading was sent to all counsel of record via
via mail:

Bonnie E. MacNaughton
Tim Cunningham
Melina E. Garcia
Macaulay W. Ivory
Christine N. McFadden
920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300
Seattle, WA 98104-1610

s/ Domantas Radeckas